**Table F1: Unified Models that Include Both Perceived and Validated Agreement**



**Table F2: Models without Controls**



**Table F3: Models for Figure 3 – within Party Specifications**

**Table F4: Seemingly Unrelated Regression Models**

**Table F5: Addressing “Follow the Leader” Concerns**

In these models we only consider individuals who disagree with Obama or Romney on at least 2 issues. In other words, we omit those with the highest levels of agreement.

****

**Table F6: Subset of Donors Who Did Not Give to Home State Senators**

****In this table we consider only donors who did not give to their home state senator. This addresses concerns that the sampling design of the survey, which oversampled in-state Senate donors, could affect the results of the presidential donation models. However, when we exclude this group of donors, the results are largely the same as those that include all of the donors in the sample.

**Table F7: Results using CCES Dataset**

In this table we replicate our main results using a different dataset, the Cooperative Congressional Elections Study from 2012. The results are largely the same. The CCES, however, has a number of drawbacks. The donors in the CCES are self-identified rather than validated using the FEC records. Also, the CCES does not ask donors which candidate they supported. Thus, we assume that Democrats (Republicans) who donated gave to Obama (Romney). Despite these assumptions the results are consistent with our main results.

****

**Table F8: Donation Amount, Tobit Regression Models**

**Table F9: Donation Amount, OLS Models with Logged DV**